



NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), 5th Edition


# Monitoring Diesel Exhaust in the Workplace

by **M. Eileen Birch, Ph.D., NIOSH**

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>DL-2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Analytical method</b>	<b>DL-6</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Interlaboratory comparisons</b>	<b>DL-23</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Occupational exposure criteria (U.S.)</b>	<b>DL-27</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>DL-28</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>DL-30</b>

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**  
**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**  
**National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health**






# 1 Introduction

## a. Health effects

Over a million U.S. workers (e.g., trucking, mining, railroad, construction, agriculture) are occupationally exposed to diesel exhaust [NIOSH 1988]. The widespread use of diesel-powered equipment is a recognized health concern. Exposure to diesel exhaust is associated with an increased risk of lung cancer [Attfield et al. 2012; Garshick et al. 2004; HEI 1995; IARC 2012; Silverman et al. 2012]. Diesel exhaust is pervasive, and environmental exposure is a public health concern; but workplace exposures pose higher risk because they are generally much higher than those encountered by the general population.

In 1988, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) reported diesel exhaust as a potential occupational carcinogen and recommended that employers reduce workers' exposures [NIOSH 1998]. This recommendation was based on five independent animal studies, in which rats exposed to unfiltered exhaust showed an increased incidence of benign and malignant lung tumors [IARC 1989]. Other organizations, including the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) [IARC 1989], the World Health Organization (WHO) [WHO 1996], the California Environmental Protection Agency [CalEPA 1998], the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) [EPA 2000a], and the National Toxicology Program [NTP 2000] reviewed the animal and human evidence, and each classified diesel exhaust as a probable human carcinogen or similar designation. In 2012, based on epidemiological studies, IARC [IARC, WHO 2012] reclassified diesel exhaust as carcinogenic to humans (Group 1). In particular, a major study of U.S. miners, conducted by NIOSH and the National Cancer Institute (NCI), found increased risk of death from lung cancer in exposed workers [Attfield et al. 2012; Silverman et al. 2012].

Noncancer health effects also are associated with diesel exhaust exposure, including immunologic, respiratory, and cardiovascular effects. Diesel exhaust particles can act as nonspecific airway irritants at relatively high exposures. At lower levels, they can trigger release of mediators (cytokines, chemokines, immunoglobulins, and oxidants) of allergic and inflammatory responses [Pandya et al. 2002]. Diesel particles may promote expression of the immunologic response phenotype (Th2) associated with asthma and allergic disease and may have greater immunologic effects in the presence of environmental allergens. Internationally, the prevalence of asthma (and related hospitalizations and mortality) continues to rise in adults and children. Children may be more vulnerable than adults [Edwards et al. 1994; Weiland et al. 1994; Wjst et al. 1993; van Vliet et al. 1997]. Studies indicate children living along major trucking thoroughfares are at increased risk for asthmatic and allergic symptoms. In the United States, the number of individuals with




self-reported asthma increased by 75% from 1980 to 1994 [Mannino et al. 1998]. The immunologic evidence is consistent with results of epidemiologic studies that associate traffic-related air pollution, especially diesel exhaust particles, with an increase in respiratory diseases.

Studies have consistently found positive associations between particulate air pollution and daily mortality [Brown et al. 2000; Dockery et al. 1993; EPA 1999, 2000b; Pope et al. 1995a; Pope et al. 1995b; Pope et al. 2002; Samet 2000; Schwartz 1997; Schwartz et al. 1996]. The traditional U.S. air quality standard for particulate matter is based on particles having diameters  $\leq 10 \mu\text{m}$  (PM<sub>10</sub>) [52 Fed. Reg. 24634 (1987)]. In 1997, EPA proposed a new standard [62 Fed. Reg. 38652 (1997)] (see [www.epa.gov/airlinks/airlinks4.html](http://www.epa.gov/airlinks/airlinks4.html)) based on particles having diameters  $\leq 2.5 \mu\text{m}$  (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). These smaller particles originate mainly from combustion sources. The new standard was proposed because recent studies had found higher correlation between fine particle pollution and adverse health effects. In an analysis [Schwartz et al. 1996] of data from six U.S. cities, fine particles were consistently associated with increased risk of death from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pneumonia, and ischemic heart disease. Positive associations between fine particle pollution and hospital admissions due to respiratory and cardiovascular illness also have been found [Schwartz 1994; Burnett et al. 1995; Schwartz and Morris 1995]. Particles produced by combustion sources were implicated in these findings. In addition to asthma, chronic inhalation of diesel exhaust particles may play a role in these adverse health outcomes. Reviews on the health effects of diesel exhaust have been published [CalEPA 1998; EPA 2000a; HEI 1995, 2002; IARC 1989; IARC (WHO) 2012; NIOSH 1988; NTP 2000; Solomon et al. 1998; WHO 1996].

### **b. Composition**


Diesel engine exhaust is a highly complex and variable mixture of gases, vapors, and fine particles. The amount and composition of the exhaust vary greatly, depending on factors such as fuel and engine type, maintenance schedule, tuning, workload, and exhaust gas treatment. The gaseous constituents have included hydrocarbons and oxides of carbon, sulfur, and nitrogen. Particulate components consisted of liquid droplets and soot particles bearing organic compounds, sulfates, metals, and other trace elements. The organic fraction (droplets and particle adsorbed) was mainly unburned fuel and oil, but thousands of compounds (e.g., aldehydes, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [PAH]) have been found in the organic fraction—some of which are genotoxic [HEI 1995, 2002; IARC 1989; NIOSH 1988; WHO 1996].



### c. Analyte choice: elemental carbon


Because diesel exhaust is a highly complex mixture, a surrogate of exposure must be selected. In the early 1990's, NIOSH researchers considering potential surrogates sought an overall measure of the particulate fraction because animal studies associated lung tumor induction with unfiltered diesel exhaust [IARC 1989; NIOSH 1988], and most (90% in one study) [Schuetzle 1983] of the exhaust's mutagenic potency was associated with the particulate fraction. At the time, gravimetric methods for respirable combustible and submicrometer dusts were being used in mines, but gravimetric methods lack selectivity and are not suitable for low-level (e.g.,  $<200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) measurements. Methods for characterization of the soluble organic fraction of diesel particulate matter (DPM) also were available; others have since been developed. Although measurement of specific organic compounds, particularly genotoxins, may be relevant in characterizing the potential toxicity of diesel exhaust, a single compound or compound class would not reflect exposure to the particulate fraction—even if unique markers are found—because the composition of the exhaust is highly variable.

Carbon is a logical exposure surrogate for traditional diesel engines because DPM is predominantly (typically more than 80%) carbon [Japar et al. 1984; Lies 1989; Pierson et al. 1983]. However, carbon in the organic fraction (i.e., *organic carbon*, or OC) of DPM is not a selective measure because other sources of OC (e.g., cigarette smoke and other combustion aerosols, asphalt fumes) are present in many workplaces. *Elemental carbon* (i.e., carbon in the soot particle core, or EC) is a better surrogate [Birch and Cary 1996a] to monitor because it is a more selective measure of particulate diesel exhaust and still constitutes a sizable fraction (30%–90%) (see [HEI 2002], Part I, Section 1) of the particulate mass. Fine EC particles are derived primarily from the combustion of fossil fuels, and diesel engines have been major sources of these particles. Carbonaceous aerosols such as cigarette and wood smokes contain little, if any, EC [Birch 1998a; Birch and Cary 1996a]. Gasoline engines emit far less EC than diesels, so the contribution of this source is relatively small. Other sources such as coal combustion, incinerators, and tire debris can contribute to the background (environmental) levels of EC, but diesel engines were the primary emitters [Cass and Gray 1995; Sawyer and Johnson 1995]. In occupational settings, where diesel equipment is used in relatively close proximity to workers, the contribution of these remote sources is negligible, especially when EC levels are well above background. Environmental EC concentrations are typically in the  $1\text{--}3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  range [Birch and Cary 1996a], depending on the local air pollution, while in workplaces with diesel equipment (operating), EC concentrations are generally much higher [e.g., Haney and Fields 1996; NIOSH 1992; NIOSH 1993; NIOSH 1994c; Stanevich et al. 1997; Verma et al. 1999; Whittaker et al. 1999; Zaebst et al. 1991]. Higher environmental background (e.g.,  $3\text{--}5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) has been reported for more polluted U.S. cities (e.g., Los Angeles), but the higher



EC levels were attributed to nearby diesel vehicles [Cadle and Mulawa 1990; Gray et al. 1984] (see also [Cass and Gray 1995]). At one monitoring site (Glendora, CA), examination of the data collected at 1-minute intervals revealed that emission plumes from diesel vehicles located 50 meters from the site contributed contamination up to  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  above the background level [Hansen and Novakov 1990].

Carbonaceous dusts such as coal dust (EC content depends on coal rank), carbon blacks, and carbon nanomaterials contain EC, but particles in powders (dispersed as particle agglomerates) and mechanically generated dusts are much larger (generally  $>1 \mu\text{m}$  diameter) than combustion-based particles. Therefore, these dusts can be effectively excluded from the diesel sample on the basis of size. Only low levels of EC ( $\leq 15 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) were found in electric-powered (i.e., nondieselized) coal mines when impactors with submicrometer cutpoints were used for air sampling [Birch and Cary 1996b]. Guidance on air sampling is discussed in a following section. In addition to selectivity, potential health effects were considered when an EC surrogate was proposed [55 Fed. Reg. 110 (1990); Birch 1991; Birch and Cary 1996a]. Diesel particles and other types of insoluble fine particles are inhaled deeply into the lungs, where they can induce an inflammatory response. Further, EC particles were shown to increase the long-term retention [Sun et al. 1982; Wolff et al. 1986] of adsorbed genotoxins and other chemical toxins because the particles have a high affinity for them [Niessner and Wilbring 1989]. The adsorbed organic fraction results from rapid cooling of the exhaust mixture, which causes enrichment of some species on the particle surface [Natusch 1978; Thrane et al. 1985; Yamasaki et al. 1982]. Enrichment by this mechanism is associated with compounds of moderate to low volatility [Thrane et al. 1985; Yamasaki 1982]. For example, PAHs having four or more aromatic rings are generally associated with particulate matter [Bjorseth and Becher 1986]; this is important because these higher-ring condensates are expected to be the most carcinogenic or mutagenic [Grimmer et al. 1983; Pott 1985]. In combination with an inflammatory response induced by the particles, genotoxic agents may promote tumorigenesis. Ultrafine particles ( $<0.10 \mu\text{m}$ ) may pose an even greater health risk. Results of toxicological studies on solid particles having aerodynamic diameters in this size range indicate ultrafine particles are especially toxic, even those not having an organic fraction and consisting of materials considered relatively nontoxic (e.g., carbon black, titanium dioxide). In a study of rats [Donaldson et al. 2000], a 10-fold increase in inflammation was seen with exposures to ultrafine particles, relative to the same mass of fine particles. This is significant because, by mass, the majority of diesel particles are in the fine particle range, and most are in the ultrafine range by number [Kittelson et al. 2002]. Given the physical and chemical nature of EC particles emitted by diesel engines, monitoring and controlling exposures to these particles is prudent.



## 2 Analytical method

### a. Background


A monitoring method for DPM was published as Method 5040 in the *NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods* (NMAM). The method is based on a thermal-optical analysis technique for particulate carbon. Both OC and EC are determined, but EC is a better exposure surrogate. Rationale for selection of an EC surrogate is summarized in the previous section (*Analyte Choice: Elemental Carbon*). Method updates and an NMAM Chapter (Q) have since been published [NIOSH 1994a (1998 supplement); NIOSH 1994b (2003 supplement); NIOSH 2003] to include interlaboratory data (e.g., round robin results) and other diesel-related information obtained since its initial publication (in 1996). This 5th edition chapter is not a review of relevant literature published since the 4th edition. Its purpose is to update references and information on the following topics: classification of diesel exhaust as a human carcinogen, a study of miners exposed to diesel exhaust, results of a subsequent round robin by NIOSH investigators, and application of the Method to carbon nanomaterials. NIOSH 5040 has been used in numerous industrial hygiene surveys on diesel exhaust [e.g., Haney and Fields 1996; NIOSH 1992; NIOSH 1993; NIOSH 1994c; Stanevich et al. 1997; Verma et al. 1999; Whittaker et al. 1999; Zaebst et al. 1991], and it was applied to an epidemiological study (NIOSH/NCI) of miners [Attfield et al. 2012; Silverman et al. 2012]. Details on Method operation and performance are provided in this chapter. Exposure criteria also are discussed.

### b. Instrumentation

Of the possible approaches for OC-EC analysis, a thermal-optical technique was investigated because it offered greater selectivity (pyrolysis correction for char) and flexibility (automated analysis, programmable parameter files) than previously used methods. Prior to its proposed use for monitoring occupational exposure to diesel exhaust, thermal-optical analysis (or OC-EC methods in general) had not been applied to occupational monitoring, but the technique had been routinely applied to environmental monitoring of particulate carbon air pollution.

The thermal-optical analyzer (Figure 1) has been described previously [Birch and Cary 1996a; NIOSH 1994a (1998 supplement)]. Design changes (e.g., reflectance monitoring added, software upgraded) have since been made, but the operation principle remains unchanged. OC-EC quantification is accomplished through temperature and atmosphere control. In addition, the analyzer is equipped with an optical feature that corrects for char formed through sample pyrolysis (thermal breakdown in inert atmosphere). Some samples contain components (e.g., cigarette and wood smokes) that carbonize (convert to char carbon) when the sample is heated in helium during the first part of the analysis. Like the

EC typical in fine particle pollution, char strongly absorbs light, particularly in the red/infrared region, resulting in a decrease in the filter transmittance/reflectance. Both volatile products and char are formed during the decomposition process, which may begin near 300 °C and continue until the maximum temperature (860-880 °C) is reached. Optical correction for char is made through use of a pulsed diode laser and photodetector that continuously monitor the filter transmittance/reflectance.




**Figure 1. Schematic of thermal-optical transmittance instrument (V = valve) for determining OC and EC in carbonaceous aerosols.**

In the thermal-optical analysis, a filter portion (punch) of known area (typically 1.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) is placed in the sample oven, and the oven is tightly sealed. Quartz-fiber filters are required because temperatures in excess of 850 °C are employed. The analysis proceeds in inert and oxidizing atmospheres. In both, the evolved carbon is catalytically oxidized to carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). The CO<sub>2</sub> is then reduced to methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), and CH<sub>4</sub> is quantified with a flame ionization detector (FID).

OC (and carbonate, if present) is first removed in helium, as the temperature is increased to a preset maximum (usually 850 °C or higher). If charring occurs, the filter transmittance decreases as the temperature is stepped to the maximum. After OC is removed, an oxygen-helium mix is introduced to effect combustion of the remaining carbon. As oxygen enters the oven, light-absorbing carbon is oxidized and a concurrent increase in filter transmittance/reflectance occurs. The *split* (vertical line prior to EC peak in Figure 2) between the OC and EC is assigned when the initial (baseline) value of the filter transmittance is reached. All carbon removed before the OC-EC split is considered




organic, and that removed after the split is considered elemental. If no char is formed, the split is assigned prior to removal of light-absorbing carbon. If the sample chars, the split is not assigned until enough light-absorbing carbon is removed to increase the transmittance/reflectance to its initial value. In general, char is more readily oxidized than diesel-particle EC. The delay (i.e., the transit time from sample to FID) between the laser and FID signals is considered in the split assignment. Ordinarily, the split is assigned in the oxidative mode of the analysis.



**Figure 2. Thermogram for filter sample containing organic carbon (OC), carbonate (CC), and elemental carbon (EC). PC is pyrolytically generated carbon or “char.” Final peak is methane calibration peak. Carbon sources: pulverized beet pulp, rock dust (carbonate), and DPM.**

EC and OC results are reported in micrograms per square centimeter ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) of the sample deposit. The total OC and EC on the filter are calculated by multiplying the reported values by the deposit area. In this approach, a homogeneous deposit is assumed. For triplicate analyses, the precision (relative standard deviation) is normally under 5%, and it is typically 2% or better [NIOSH 1994b (2003 supplement)]. The total carbon (TC) in the sample is the sum of OC and EC. If carbonate is present, the carbon in it is





quantified as OC, unless a carbonate-subtracted value is requested. Additional details about carbonates are given in a following section.


### c. Accuracy

Reference materials are not available for determining the accuracy of OC-EC measurements on filter samples of complex carbonaceous aerosols. For this reason, only the accuracy of the method in the determination of TC could be examined. No discernable differences in the responses of five different organic compounds were found. Linear regression of the data (43 analyses total) for all five compounds gave a slope and correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) near unity [slope = 0.99 ( $\pm 0.01$ ),  $r^2 = 0.999$ ,  $n = 43$ ]. In addition to the OC standards, eight different carbonaceous materials were analyzed. Three different methods (including the thermal-optical method) were used, and laboratories reported the TC contents of the samples. The samples analyzed included DPM and other types of carbonaceous matter (coals, urban dust, humic acid). Thermal-optical results agreed well with those reported by the two other laboratories. The variability in TC results for the three laboratories ranged from about 1%–7%. These findings [Birch and Cary 1996a; NIOSH 1994a (1998 supplement)] indicate that TC is accurately quantified, irrespective of sample type.

### d. Limit of detection

To estimate the method's limit of detection (LOD), a set of low-level calibration standards (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA]) was analyzed [Birch and Cary 1996a; NIOSH 1994a (1998 supplement)]. The standards covered a loading range from 0.23 to 2.82  $\mu\text{g C}$  (or from 0.15 to 1.83  $\mu\text{g C}$  per  $\text{cm}^2$  of filter). Results of linear regression of the low-level calibration data were then used to calculate a LOD as  $3\sigma_y/m$ , where  $\sigma_y$  is the standard error of the regression and  $m$  is the slope of the regression line. The LOD estimated through the linear regression results was 0.24  $\mu\text{g C}$ , or 0.15  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . This value showed good agreement with the LOD estimated as  $3\sigma_{\text{blank}}$  (three times the standard deviation for blanks), which gave a value of about 0.3  $\mu\text{g C}$ . The mean ( $n = 40$ ) instrumental blank was  $0.03 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{g C}$ . With a 960-L air sample collected on a 37-mm filter and use of a 1.5  $\text{cm}^2$  sample portion, this LOD translates to an air concentration of about 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . As with all sampling and analytical methods, the LOD is a varying number that depends on the instrument, sampling parameters, and means by which the LOD is calculated.

NIOSH Method 5040 was developed for monitoring workplace exposure to DPM, especially in mines, where EC concentrations are relatively high (e.g., hundreds of  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ). However, the thermal-optical technique on which 5040 is based has application to other types of carbonaceous aerosols. Thermal-optical analysis also has been applied to studies of U.S. workers exposed to carbon nanotubes and nanofibers (CNT and CNF) [Birch et al.



2011; Dahm et al. 2012; Dahm et al. 2015; NIOSH 2013]. For these studies, a lower LOD was needed because workplace concentrations of CNT/CNF are generally low. As recommended in Method 5040, a smaller (25-mm) filter and a higher flow rate were used to obtain a lower LOD (about 1  $\mu\text{g EC}/\text{m}^3$  or lower). Manual assignment of the OC-EC split also was made. Application of Method 5040 to carbon nanomaterials is discussed below (see Carbon Nanomaterials).

### e. Air sampling

In the initial evaluation of the thermal-optical method, a set of laboratory-generated air samples was analyzed. A dilution tunnel equipped with a dynamometer was used for generation of diesel particulate samples. Four EC concentrations, ranging from 23 to 240  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (EC loadings from 2.7 to 27  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ), were generated. The analytical results [NIOSH 1994b (1998 supplement)] indicated that the method met the NIOSH accuracy criterion [Kennedy et al. 1995]. The variance was roughly proportional to the mean concentration; therefore, the relative standard deviation (RSD) decreased with increasing concentration. The accuracy was calculated accordingly. The accuracy was  $\pm 16.7\%$  at the lowest loading (2.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ), with an overall precision (RSD) of 8.5%. On the basis of a method evaluation, the NIOSH accuracy criterion requires a confidence limit on the accuracy less than 25% at the 95% confidence level. Restated, the criterion dictates that greater than 95% of the measurements fall within  $\pm 25\%$  of the true value at 95% confidence in the method's validation experiments. The method was considered unbiased (i.e., considered the reference method), and the overall precision reflected method accuracy. In this initial test, the sample generation and collection system was the main source of variability, not the analysis.

When only combustion-source EC is present, different samplers can be expected to give comparable EC results because particles from combustion sources are generally less than 1  $\mu\text{m}$  (diameter). As such, the particles are evenly deposited on the filter and collected with the same efficiency (near 100%). To confirm this assumption, seven different sampler types (open-faced 25-mm and 37-mm cassettes; 298 personal cascade impactor [7 stages, 0.9- $\mu\text{m}$  cutpoint]; 4 prototype impactors) were used to collect diesel aerosol at the loading dock of an express mail facility. The RSD for the mean EC concentration was 5.6% [Birch and Cary 1996a]. Based on the 95% confidence limit (19%; 13 degrees of freedom,  $n = 14$ ) on the accuracy, results of this experiment also indicated that the NIOSH accuracy criterion [Kennedy et al. 1995] was fulfilled. The amount of EC collected (240  $\mu\text{g}$  per sample) would have been equivalent to sampling an air concentration of 250  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  for 8 h at 2 L/min. Variability in the OC results was higher (RSD = 12.3%), which is to be expected when different samplers are used to collect aerosols that contain semivolatile (and volatile) components because these can have a filter face velocity dependence.




Similar performance was obtained from collected samples in an underground molybdenum mine. Five different sampler types were used (closed-face 25-mm and 37-mm cassettes; 298 cascade impactor [7 stages, 0.9- $\mu\text{m}$  cutpoint]; cyclone with filter; in-house impactor). The RSD for the EC results (mean EC = 297  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) was 7%. The EC deposits obtained with all five sampler types were homogeneous, even when the ore deposit was visually heavier in the center of the filter (e.g., with the closed-face 37-mm cassette). Although the dust loading was higher in the center of the filter, portions taken from the center gave equivalent EC results, indicating the ore contained no EC component. The TC results for the center portions were only slightly higher, so this particular ore was mostly inorganic. EC concentrations found with three different sampler types (nylon cyclone, open-faced cassette, and impactor with submicrometer cut) also were comparable in a study of railroad workers [Verma et al. 1999].

If high levels of other dusts are present, a size classifier (e.g., impactor and/or cyclone) should be used to prevent filter overloading, particularly if the dust is carbonaceous. In the latter case, a size classifier provides a more selective measure of the diesel-source OC. It also provides a better measure of the diesel-source EC if the dust contains an EC component, which is less common. A finely ground sample of the bulk material can be analyzed to determine whether a specific dust poses potential interference [Birch and Cary 1996a]. Depending on the dust concentration, size distribution, and target analyte, an impactor may be required.

For mines, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) recommends a specialized impactor to minimize collection of carbonates and other carbonaceous dusts [66 Fed. Reg. 5706 (2001)]. An impactor can greatly improve the selectivity of the TC measurement in some cases, but it may exclude a small amount of the DPM. Then, too, some OC interferences cannot be excluded on the basis of size (e.g., condensation aerosols, fumes, wood and cigarette smokes). If present in the sampling environment, these materials can positively bias the OC (TC) results to some degree, depending on their relative concentrations and the sampling location. Although 37-mm or 25-mm cassettes are often suitable for general industry, the required sampler depends on the sampling environment.

### f. Carbonates

The presence of carbonate is indicated by a narrow peak during the fourth temperature step in helium (Figure 2). Its presence is verified by exposing a second portion of the filter to hydrogen chloride (HCl) vapor prior to analysis. When the acidified portion is analyzed, a diminished (or absent) peak during the fourth temperature step is indicative of carbonate in the original sample. (Note: Acid treatment may sometimes alter the




appearance of the EC profile in the thermogram [output signal of thermal-optical instrument], but the EC result itself should not be affected significantly.)

A desiccator containing concentrated HCl (added to the desiccator or a petri dish placed at the bottom of it) can be used to acidify the sample portions. The desiccator, or alternative vessel, should be used in a well-ventilated hood. The filter portions are placed on the desiccator tray, and the tray is placed in the desiccator. A wetted pH indicator stick can be used to check acidity. A wetted indicator stick inserted between the desiccator lid and base should give a pH near 2. Portions should be exposed to the acid vapor for about 1 hour (large particles may require more time). After acidification, the tray is placed on a clean, inert surface inside the hood. The residual acid on the portions should be allowed to volatilize in the hood for at least an hour prior to analysis.

Environmental samples typically contain little (if any) carbonate, but levels in some occupational samples can be quite high. For example, respirable dust samples collected in limestone and trona mines can contain high levels of calcium carbonate and sodium sesquicarbonate, respectively. In such cases, acidified samples give a better measure of the diesel-source OC (TC). If the carbonate loading is relatively high (e.g., carbonate carbon >10% of the TC), the difference between the TC results (before and after acidification) gives an estimate of carbonate carbon (CC). Estimation of CC by difference assumes the carbonate is evenly deposited on the filter, which may not always be the case. It also assumes that OC loss through acidification is negligible. The latter assumption is generally true for workplace samples, but depends on sample composition and loading.

Alternatively, a carbonate-subtracted OC (TC) result can be obtained through separate integration of the carbonate peak. This applies only to carbonates (e.g., calcium carbonate) that can be removed as a single peak during the fourth temperature step in helium. The carbonate of interest should be analyzed to ensure this is the case. A minor adjustment (lower) to the third temperature step may be necessary to prevent partial loss during this step. Sodium sesquicarbonate (trona) is removed over multiple temperature steps. Samples containing trona should be acidified if a carbonate-subtracted result is desired. (*Note: At elevated temperature, trona and other compounds containing sodium can etch the quartz oven wall and sample holder. An etched surface can reduce laser throughput. Avoid frequent analysis of high-sodium materials and direct contact of these materials with the oven wall and sample holder.*)

The presence of a small “peak” during the fourth temperature step is not necessarily due to carbonate. Other carbonaceous matter (e.g., char) is sometimes removed during the fourth step. Unlike carbonates, which produce a relatively sharp peak, other materials typically evolve as a small, broad peak. If determination of relatively low (e.g., CC <10% of TC)



amounts of CC is desired, a second portion of the sample should be acidified and analyzed to verify the presence of carbonate and reveal any underlying baseline features contributed by other materials. A more accurate estimate of CC can then be obtained by integrating the first (nonacidified) sample over the missing peak area (i.e., area removed through acidification).

### **g. Carbon nanomaterials**

NIOSH Method 5040 was developed for monitoring workplace exposure DPM, as EC, but the thermal-optical analysis technique on which it is based has application to other types of carbonaceous aerosols and materials. Method 5040 has been applied to field studies on carbon nanomaterials, wherein EC was used as a measure of exposure to carbon nanotubes and nanofibers (CNT and CNF) [Birch et al. 2011; Dahm et al. 2012; Dahm et al. 2015; Fatkhutdinova et al. 2016; NIOSH 2013]. Because CNT/CNF are composed of EC, EC is a quantitative measure of airborne CNT/CNF [Birch et al. 2011; NIOSH 2013]. In 2013, NIOSH set a recommended exposure limit (REL) for CNT/CNF: an 8-hr time weighted average (TWA) of 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  as respirable EC [NIOSH 2013].

For application of NIOSH 5040 to CNT/CNF, a manual OC-EC split is assigned. The larger size ( $\mu\text{m}$  versus nanoscale DPM) and agglomerate structure of CNT/CNF particles, combined with low air concentrations (i.e., filter loadings), make the 5040 auto-split unreliable [Dahm et al. 2015; NIOSH 2013]. Adjustments to the thermal program also may be needed depending on the material, size fraction collected, and environmental background [Birch et al. 2011; Dahm et al. 2015; Doudrick et al., 2012; NIOSH 2013]. Analysis of bulk and background samples is recommended [NIOSH 2013]. Determining the thermal profiles of bulk materials produced/processed in a given workplace, and using this information, along with results for background samples, assists manual assignment of the OC-EC split and can provide more accurate CNT/CNF measurement.

Because CNT/CNF oxidize over a range of temperatures, it is important to analyze bulk and background samples [Birch et al. 2011; Dahm et al. 2015; NIOSH 2013]. For example, Mitsui-7 MWCNT (Mitsui & Co., LTD, Tokyo, Japan), used in early NIOSH toxicity studies, is more difficult to oxidize (onset > 700 °C). A NIOSH (Cincinnati, OH, USA) laboratory normally uses a final temperature (in oxygen-helium) of 920 °C, which is adequate provided that the loading is not too high and the hold time (at final temperature) is long enough. A higher (up to about 940 °C) or lower maximum can be used, and the heating period at maximum temperature can be adjusted according to the type and amount of material analyzed. If the signal returns to baseline, EC in the sample is completely oxidized. If not (i.e., there is signal 'tailing' into the calibration peak), the final temperature can be increased and an extended period can be used. In addition to Mitsui-7



(MWCNT), some graphite products are difficult to oxidize. On the other hand, if a material is completely oxidized at 875 °C, prolonged heating at 920 °C is unnecessary. In some cases (e.g., early EC loss), a lower maximum temperature in the helium also may be appropriate [NIOSH 2003]. These changes are minor adjustments that can be made to optimize the thermal analysis.

In general, most CNT/CNF materials can be oxidized from the filter. However, there may be instances where sample oxidation is incomplete, even with holding at 920 °C (or higher) for an extended period (e.g., high loadings of graphite products or Mitsui-7). For bulks analyses, only small amounts (e.g., about 10 µg or less) of material should be analyzed, to better match the loadings of the field samples, for comparison with the bulk. If residual material (CNT) remains (post analysis), incomplete oxidation is obvious as the signal does not return to baseline and a filter deposit is visible.

Even with the aid of bulks and judicious choice of background samples, it may not be possible to exclude EC background, posing an interference problem at low CNT/CNF loadings. Also, amorphous carbon in the CNT/CNF materials may cause positive bias [NIOSH 2013]. If the oxidation rates of the amorphous carbon and CNT/CNF are sufficiently different, it may be possible to remove the amorphous material to a greater extent, but the oxidation rates may be too similar for speciation.


## **h. Sampling artifacts: organic aerosol**

### **1.) Face Velocity**

Quartz-fiber filters are routinely used to collect airborne particulate matter for carbon determination. Quartz filters have high collection efficiency for particulate carbon, but collection of organic aerosol is artifact prone [Cui et al. 1998; Kirchstetter et al. 2001; McDow and Huntzicker 1990; Turpin et al. 1994; Zang and McMurry 1992].

Particulate carbon (EC and nonvolatile OC) results should not depend on filter face velocity. This is true for EC, but OC (and TC) results can have a face velocity dependence due to sampling artifacts. Namely, adsorption of organic vapor can positively bias the results, while evaporative losses have the opposite effect. Although both processes may occur, studies indicate vapor adsorption is the dominant artifact [Kirchstetter et al. 2001; Turpin et al. 1994]. In one study [Turpin et al. 1994], the OC (TC) results for air samples collected at face velocities of 20, 40, and 80 cm/sec had a face velocity dependence before, but not after, correction for adsorbed vapor. Lack of dependence after correction supports adsorption as the dominant artifact. At the much lower face velocities typical of occupational monitoring (e.g., about 4 cm/sec with a 37-mm filter and 2 L/min flow rate), OC losses induced by pressure drop across the filter are expected to be minor relative to adsorption. OC sampling artifacts were






acknowledged when the thermal-optical method was proposed [55 Fed. Reg. 110 (1990); Birch 1991], but this issue was not investigated prior to publication of NIOSH 5040 because an EC surrogate was recommended. In view of the MSHA-proposed air standard for TC (proposed after 5040 publication), and the fact that both OC and EC are determined, the issue was later addressed.

### 2.) Adsorbed OC

Correction for adsorbed organic vapor through use of traditional blanks (media or field) may not be accurate because the amount of vapor adsorbed by them is variable [Birch et al. 1999a]. More importantly, traditional blanks collect vapor passively, while the samples collect it actively (during sampling). A more representative correction for adsorbed organic vapor can be made through use of two filters in tandem [Kirchstetter et al. 2001; Turpin et al. 1994]. The air sample is collected with a sampler containing a Teflon® or quartz upper filter and a bottom quartz filter. After sampling, the bottom filter is used to correct for adsorbed vapor. The vapor adsorbed on the bottom filter more closely represents that adsorbed on the sample filter (quartz) because both are collected actively. Use of two quartz filters is preferable to Teflon and quartz because the filter used to correct for adsorbed vapor is in the same sampler; however, the second (bottom) quartz filter may underestimate the amount of adsorbed OC relative to a quartz filter under Teflon [Kirchstetter et al. 2001; Turpin et al. 1994]. These results have been attributed to depletion of the vapor concentration by the top quartz filter, which presents a lower concentration to the bottom one. A shorter equilibration time (for partitioning between gas phase and adsorbed state) is expected with Teflon filters because they have less surface area and are more inert than quartz. Thus, less adsorption is expected on Teflon relative to quartz. Because differences in the amount of adsorbed OC have been reported for the two sampling configurations, both were examined.

Six sets of air samples were collected. Two pre-cleaned quartz-fiber filters were loaded into each of eight 37-mm cassettes. In four of these, a Teflon filter was then placed on top. The bottom quartz filters in all eight cassettes, as well as the four directly under the Teflon filters, provided measures of adsorbed OC. Filters in four additional cassettes served as passive field blanks, meaning no air was pulled through them. The second (bottom) quartz filters in the cassettes with the Teflon filters were compared to the bottom quartz filters in those having only a quartz pair. If negligible adsorption occurs on Teflon, the bottom quartz filters in the two different sampling configurations should give equivalent results. To avoid possible variability due to lot-to-lot differences, filters from the same lot were used to collect a given sample set.






### 3.) Portable dust chamber

A portable dust chamber [Kogut et al. 1997] designed for sampling in mines was used for sample collection. The chamber allowed simultaneous collection of up to eighteen samples. Twelve cassettes were mounted inside the chamber in a symmetrical fashion. Samples were collected on six different days, five days in a loading dock area and a sixth near an outside smokers' shelter. A diesel truck was operating in the loading dock, over different periods, on three of the days. Personal air pumps were programmed to run at 2 L/min over an 8-hour period. In one case, the pumps were stopped after 23 minutes. The short sampling period provided a low loading of diesel exhaust (a light deposit was visible) and was of interest with respect to the amount of adsorbed carbon collected in the short time frame.

Results for the six sample sets are shown in Table 1 and Figure 3. The QQ2 results correspond to the bottom quartz filters in the four cassettes containing quartz pairs only. The TQQ1 results are for the four filters directly beneath Teflon filters; TQQ2 results are for quartz filters beneath the TQQ1 filters (i.e., top Teflon, middle TQQ1, bottom TQQ2). The mean carbon on the bottom quartz filters ranged from 1.35 to 3.44  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ , and the variability (RSD) ranged from about 4% to 12% on a given day. The loading found on the two sets of bottom filters (QQ2 and TQQ2) was not statistically different, so all bottom filters were pooled in the calculation of the mean. The pooled means for the bottom quartz filters (BQ), the means for the quartz under Teflon (TQQ1), and the corresponding OC and EC loadings are plotted in Figure 3. Results for the TQQ1 filters were only slightly higher than those for the bottom quartz filters, even with the 23-minute sampling period (set 4). Thus, using a separate cassette that has a top Teflon filter appears unnecessary. Although differences between the amount of carbon adsorbed on a quartz filter under another quartz and on one beneath a Teflon filter reportedly can be significant [Kirchstetter et al. 2001; Turpin et al. 1994], these studies concerned environmental monitoring at much higher flow rates. The negligible differences reported here most likely relate to the lower flow rates and filter face velocities used.




**Figure 3.** Results for six sets of air samples collected in two outdoor locations. OC and EC results are the means for the top quartz filters (QQ1) of quartz-filter pairs. TQQ1 is the mean carbon (total) result for quartz filters placed directly beneath Teflon. BQ is the mean carbon result for all bottom quartz filters (i.e., QQ2 and TQQ2). See text for additional details.

**Table 1.** Results summary for total carbon (TC, µg/cm<sup>2</sup>) from six sets of air samples collected in two outdoor locations (SD = standard deviation; RSD = relative standard deviation). See text for details.

Set	Location	QQ1 mean	QQ1 SD	QQ1 RSD (%)	TQQ1 mean	TQQ1 SD	TQQ1 RSD (%)	Bottom quartz mean, QQ2	Bottom quartz mean, TQQ2	Bottom quartz mean, pooled	Bottom quartz mean, SD	Bottom quartz mean, RSD (%)	Adsorbed fraction (%)
1	shelter	4.81	0.47	9.77	3.66	0.43	11.8	3.46	3.41	3.44	0.30	8.80	76
2	dock	3.52	0.25	7.10	2.82	0.36	12.8	2.48	2.33	2.43	0.23	9.57	80
3	dock	3.61	0.05	1.39	2.89	0.04	1.38	2.41	2.42	2.41	0.18	7.45	80
4	dock	4.59	0.18	3.92	1.79	0.24	13.4	1.41	1.36	1.38	0.09	6.46	30
5	dock	8.43	0.33	3.91	1.84	0.35	19.0	1.35	1.36	1.35	0.16	12.0	22
6	dock	22.8	0.35	1.54	3.62	0.18	4.97	3.10	3.18	3.14	0.13	4.09	16

The mean carbon loading (Table 1) on the sample filters (QQ1) was about 4 µg/cm<sup>2</sup> on four different days (sample sets 1–4). A diesel truck was operating briefly on one of these days (set 4). On two other days (sets 5 and 6), the truck was running for longer periods, as evidenced by the higher EC loadings (Figure 3). The corresponding TC loadings on these two days were about 8 µg/cm<sup>2</sup> and 23 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Over the loading range, the amount of adsorbed OC had no dependence on the TC loading, and the OC results for the bottom quartz filters (1.35 to 3.44 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>) were higher than those for the passive field blanks (0.17 to 0.74 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>). Two of the results (sets 4 and 5) were slightly lower than the others. In one case (set 4), the sampling period (23 minutes) was shorter, but the adsorbed OC results for the bottom filters in both sampling configurations (i.e., QQ2 and TQQ2) were equivalent and comparable to the upper



quartz filters (TQQ1), below the Teflon. This implied that partitioning [Turpin et al. 1994] (between gas and adsorbed states) on the sample filters (QQ1) had reached equilibrium; thus, the lower result was not due to lack of equilibration. In large part, the results for passive blanks provided a likely explanation for the lower value. The mean blank (passive) for this set was  $0.17 (\pm 0.10) \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ , which was the lowest value obtained. Passive blank results for the other sets (excluding set 5) ranged from  $0.25 (\pm 0.07)$  to  $0.74 (\pm 0.14) \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . It is not known whether the same explanation applied to set 5 because passive blanks for this set were accidentally contaminated (results voided). As in sets 1–3 and 6, set 5 was collected for an 8-hour period, but it was collected during the spring, while the other sets were collected during midsummer. Changed weather conditions may explain the lower value.

Over three days, the adsorbed OC fraction (calculated as the TQQ1 TC mean divided by QQ1 TC mean) constituted about 80% of the TC on the sample filters (QQ1). On three other days (sets 4, 5, and 6), the adsorbed OC fraction was 39%, 22%, and 16% of the total. A backup quartz filter provided a better correction for adsorbed carbon than a traditional blank would have. Traditional blanks (media and field) underestimated the adsorbed OC, causing overestimation of the true particulate OC (TC) concentration. The need for this correction, and the number of backup filters analyzed, depends on the sampling strategy and environment. The lower the OC loading, the greater the influence of adsorbed vapor on the particulate OC measurement. If the EC loading also is low, the same holds true for the TC result. Thus, when carbon loadings are low, correction for adsorbed OC is important for accurate measurement of particulate OC and TC concentrations. However, the correction addresses adsorbed vapor only—not interference of less volatile materials (e.g., components in cigarette and wood smokes, oils) collected primarily on the top filter. Depending on vapor pressure, sampling conditions (temperature, flow rate, sampling period), and filter loading, these materials also collect on the bottom filter to some extent. The bottom filter cannot correct for this interference. If high OC loadings are found on the bottom filter, less volatile OC interferences should be suspected.

## i. EC oxidation in helium


In the NIOSH 5040 analysis, oxidation of original EC (as opposed to char) is sometimes seen during the last temperature step in helium, but this is generally not common. If early removal occurs, it is important to ensure that oxygen contamination is not responsible. This check can be performed by analyzing a sucrose standard solution applied to a clean, unused filter punch. Although char loss is sometimes observed with sucrose, the filter transmittance normally does not reach its initial value until after oxygen is introduced. If oxygen contamination can be ruled out, the early EC loss is most likely due to oxidants in



the sample. In our laboratory, EC loss in helium has been observed with samples from a few mines [Birch 1998b]. With these samples, the filter transmittance exceeded its baseline (initial) value during the last temperature step in helium. No sample pyrolysis was apparent, so the increase in transmittance was not caused by char loss. Moreover, analysis of sucrose standards revealed only a minor increase in transmittance in helium, and no increase was seen with samples from other mines. For these reasons, oxygen contamination was ruled out. On average, about 10% of the EC was removed at 870 °C in helium, but the carbon removed was included in the EC result because the OC-EC split was assigned when the initial transmittance was reached (in helium). Reducing the maximum temperature to 750 °C was recommended [NIOSH 1994a, 1994b] for these types of samples (i.e., *no sample charring and early splits in helium*). Early EC loss was not seen at this temperature. A lower (<750 °C) temperature may be required, depending on the sample composition. Our analytical results and precision were not affected by the early splits, but a reduced temperature was recommended in the interest of interlaboratory precision, which might be adversely affected. Interlaboratory testing was not conducted to determine if this is the case, and, if so, whether a lower temperature would improve precision.

## j. Reference materials


As mentioned, a suitable reference material for OC and EC is not available. A Certified Value (17.68% g/g) for the TC mass fraction of an urban dust standard (SRM 1649a, formerly SRM 1649) was reported by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), but only Information (not Certified) Values were provided for the EC content. The EC content (as EC:TC fractions) was determined by a variety of methods. As expected, based on prior studies [Birch 1998a; Chow et al. 2001; Countess 1990; Norris et al. 1999; Schmid et al. 2001], the results were quite variable. The EC:TC fractions found by 13 methods ranged from about 7% to 52%, and the data were distributed in three clusters. Method bias was not evaluated. The reported range is too broad to use this material as an analytical standard. According to the Certificate of Analysis (1649a), the reported values may be useful for comparison with results obtained by similar methods, but this may not be the case for methods with optical corrections because filter samples are not available. Depending on its placement, bulk dust on a filter can present different optical properties, which may increase variability. Sample composition is an important consideration in the production of an analytical reference material. As is true with many standards, no single OC-EC material can be representative of all samples because there are many sources of particulate carbon and many different monitoring sites—both occupational and environmental. Production of an OC-EC reference material is further complicated by the variability between methods (SRM 1649a provides only Information Values for different methods), and the need of a filter-based material for thermal-optical methods.



Because sample components that char pose a potential interference in the determination of EC, and interlaboratory variability is greater when samples contain them, analysis of organic materials that char provides an important quality assurance check. Sucrose serves as both an analytical standard and a check of the method's char correction, but sucrose is a simple carbohydrate (a disaccharide). Air samples can contain complex particulate matter, such as wood and cigarette smokes, plant debris (cellulose), and products of biomass burning. In some cases, biomass may contribute a sizable fraction of the sample carbon. About 38% of the particulate carbon in SRM 1649a (an urban dust) is derived from biomass (see Certificate of Analysis [NIST 2001] and related publication [Currie et al. 2002]). For these reasons, a material more representative of the plant-derived components in air samples was sought.

Various plant-derived materials were examined to determine whether any would be useful as laboratory control samples. A well-characterized, stable material that chars also would be useful for proficiency testing, and it could potentially be used to produce a standard reference material. After a preliminary screening of candidate materials (e.g., agarose, alginic acid, starch, and cellulose), alginic acid was selected for further study. Alginic acid is a polysaccharide derived from sea kelp [Morrison and Boyd 1973], it has adequate solubility in water, and it forms a significant amount of char during the analysis.

To prepare a solution, about 150 mg of alginic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added to a test tube containing 10 mL of purified water (ultrafiltered, type I). The tube was shaken vigorously and allowed to sit overnight at room temperature. The following day, the tube was shaken again and then centrifuged to settle the suspended material. The clear supernatant was removed and syringe filtered. Aliquots of the alginic acid solution were applied to clean filter punches. The solution was analyzed over a seven-week period. Results of these analyses are plotted in Figure 4. No evidence of solution degradation was observed. A mean carbon loading of  $13.98 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  (RSD = 3.06%,  $n = 22$ ) was obtained for 10- $\mu\text{L}$  aliquots of the solution. This translates to a carbon concentration of  $2.06 \mu\text{g}/\mu\text{L}$ . About half of the carbon in alginic acid remained on the filter as char before oxygen was introduced, but the mean EC result ( $0.04 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) was not statistically different from that for the media blanks ( $0.06 \pm 0.03$ ). Therefore, the pyrolysis correction was accurate.




**Figure 4. Results for clean punches (blanks) spiked with 10-µL aliquots of alginic acid solution. Analyses done over a 7-week period. The middle horizontal line is the mean result (13.98 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>). The upper and lower dashed lines are ±5% of the mean.**

Sets of diesel soot samples spiked with the alginic acid solution also were examined. Multiple punches (1.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) were taken from a soot sample collected on an 8” x 10” filter. The punches were then analyzed before and after spiking with a 10-µL aliquot of the solution. Punches in a given set were taken from the same area of the filter to minimize variability, and the solution was applied in a consistent manner (dispersed evenly on one end of the punch where the laser penetrates it). Mean TC results for the unspiked and spiked samples are provided in Table 2. Results for three sample sets (A, B, and C) are reported. For each set, the difference between the mean results for the unspiked and spiked samples is reported; this difference is the alginic acid carbon. Based on the mean (13.98 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for 22 spiked blanks, recoveries of alginic acid carbon from the soot samples were 96%, 101%, and 110% (sets A, B, and C, respectively). The pooled recovery for all spiked samples was 97%.

**Table 2. Recovery of alginic acid carbon (means and standard deviations, n=3; µg/cm<sup>2</sup>) from unspiked diesel particulate samples and samples spiked with 10-µL alginic acid solution.**

Sample set	Unspiked	Spiked	Difference	Recovery* (%)
A	22.9 (1.49)	34.9 (0.66)	13.5	96
B	22.3 (0.28)	36.4 (0.65)	14.1	101
C	22.6 (0.33)	38.0 (0.80)	15.4	110
All	22.6 (0.84)	36.1 (1.32)	13.5	97

\*Based on mean result (14.0 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for 22 analyses of spiked blank punches; analyses carried out over a 7-week period.



**Table 3. Results for elemental carbon (EC; means and standard deviations,  $n=3$ ;  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) from spiked and unspiked diesel particulate samples.**

Sample set	Unspiked	Spiked	Difference
A	4.24 (0.20)	4.36 (0.42)	0.12 (0.47)
B	3.88 (0.01)	3.78 (0.12)	-0.10 (0.12)
C	4.32 (0.14)	4.43 (0.12)	0.11 (0.18)

EC results for the three sample sets are listed in Table 3. Spiked sample results for two sets (A and C) were slightly higher, while those for a third set (B) were slightly lower.

Differences between the mean EC loadings on the unspiked and spiked samples were not statistically different. Thus, an accurate correction was again made for the char formed through pyrolysis of alginic acid.


## k. Quality assurance

### 1.) NIOSH

Quality assurance (QA) procedures followed at NIOSH and NIOSH contract laboratories have included repeat analyses, analysis of OC standards, and analysis of media and field blanks. Results for repeat analyses of over 200 filter samples at a contract laboratory (DataChem Laboratories, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT) gave a pooled RSD (95% confidence) of about 4% for EC and TC, and 5% for OC [Birch et al. 1999a]. The samples were collected in mines, and some contained other carbonaceous matter such as limestone. In the analysis of OC standards, recovery was typically within 6% of the expected value [Birch et al. 1999a]. For example, the mean recovery for more than 400 sucrose standards analyzed at DataChem over a one-year period was 99.97%  $\pm 6.07\%$ . Nearly identical results (100.33%  $\pm 5.15\%$ ,  $n = 462$ ) were obtained by Clayton Laboratory Services (Novi, MI). Different sets of field blanks (41 at DataChem and 129 at Clayton) analyzed by the two laboratories also gave comparable results [Birch et al. 1999a]. Mean blank results ( $\mu\text{g}$  carbon per filter) were as follows: DataChem OC = 9.96  $\pm 7.05$ , Clayton OC = 12.80  $\pm 5.76$ ; DataChem EC = -0.54  $\pm 1.89$ , Clayton EC = -0.08  $\pm 2.14$ ; DataChem TC = 9.26  $\pm 7.30$ , Clayton TC = 12.70  $\pm 6.18$ .

In lieu of an OC-EC reference material, a limited confirmation of results by a second laboratory is advised. For example, our laboratory (NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH) has routinely performed repeat analyses on samples previously analyzed at a contract laboratory. As an added check, subsets of representative field samples also have been analyzed by a third laboratory. In the analysis of fifty samples (analyzed at DataChem, NIOSH, and Clayton) from mines, the pooled RSD (95% confidence) was about 6% for TC, 10% for EC, and 12% for OC [Birch et al. 1999a]. These results are consistent with those found in a previous round robin [Birch 1998a].





## 2.) EPA

The EPA conducted a special study [EPA 2001] as part of the QA oversight for the PM<sub>2.5</sub> Speciation Trends Network (STN). Samples collected as part of this network were removed from refrigerated storage eight months after they were analyzed at Research Triangle Institute (RTI, Research Triangle Park, NC). The samples were then shipped to the EPA New England Regional Laboratory (NERL, Lexington, MA) for reanalysis. Good interlaboratory agreement was reported. The acceptance criteria for the archived samples were based on filter loading ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). The three acceptance criteria are the following: a difference  $\leq 1 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  for loadings less than  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ , a relative percent difference (RPD)  $\leq 20\%$  for loadings from 5 to  $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ , and an RPD  $\leq 15\%$  for loadings above  $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . The OC, EC, and TC data had 85%, 96%, and 93% of the interlaboratory results, respectively, within the acceptance criteria. The lower percentages for OC and TC are thought to reflect contamination of lightly loaded samples from adsorbed vapors and handling. An OC blank (passive) correction was applied but may not have been representative of the entire sample set. Additional comparison results are discussed in the next section: Interlaboratory Comparisons.

## 3 Interlaboratory comparisons

### a. NIOSH 5040

When results of the initial method evaluation were published [Birch and Cary 1996a], an interlaboratory comparison was not possible because the thermal-optical instrument was available in only one laboratory. Interlaboratory comparisons are especially important in this type of analysis because variable results have been obtained by different OC-EC methods [e.g., Birch 1998a; Chow et al. 2001; Countess 1990; Norris et al. 1999; Schmid et al. 2001;], and a reference material is not available. After additional laboratories acquired thermal-optical instruments, a round robin comparison [Birch 1998a] was conducted. Matched sets of filter samples containing different types of complex carbonaceous aerosols were distributed to eleven laboratories. Six of the eleven analyzed the samples according to NIOSH 5040, while five used purely thermal (i.e., no char correction) methods. Good interlaboratory agreement was obtained among the six laboratories that used NIOSH 5040. In the analysis of samples containing DPM, the variability (RSD) for the EC results ranged from 6% to 9%. Only low EC fractions were found in wood and cigarette smokes. Thus, these materials pose minimal interference in the analysis of diesel-source EC. In addition, only minor amounts of EC were found in two OC standards that char: about 1% and 0.1% for sucrose and the disodium salt of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), respectively. Two aqueous solutions of OC standards were included in the comparison as a check on the validity of the char correction and accuracy of the TC results. Variability (RSD) of the TC results for the two standard solutions and five filter samples ranged from 3% to 6%.




**Note:** *In the round-robin study [Birch et al. 1996a] discussed above, the maximum temperature in helium ranged from about 850 °C to 900 °C. Comparable results were obtained because some differences in the thermal program do not affect the results significantly (e.g., also see Chai et al. 2012). For the purpose of standardization, use of the same maximum is recommended.*

Results of other interlaboratory comparisons on NIOSH 5040 have been reported. In one study [Schauer et al. 2001], seven environmental aerosol samples were analyzed in duplicate by eight laboratories. Four samples were collected in U.S. cities, and three were collected in Asia. Variability of the EC results ranged from 6% to 21% for six samples having EC loadings from 0.7 to 8.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . Four of the six had low EC loadings (0.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  to 1.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). The variability of the OC results ranged from 4% to 13% (OC loadings ranged from about 1 to 25  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). Results for TC were not reported, but the variability reported for the OC results should be representative of that for TC because the samples were mostly OC (75% to 92%). For another comparison [Chai et al. 2012], matched-filter sets with known OC–EC contents were generated and distributed to six laboratories. In this study, the maximum temperature in helium varied from 650 °C to 850 °C, but good agreement between the participating laboratories was found. Results indicated a uniform carbon distribution for the filter sets. Relative standard deviations for mean TC, OC, and EC results for seven laboratories were less than 10%, 11%, and 12% (respectively). Except for one EC result (RSD = 16%), RSDs reported by individual laboratories, for TC, OC, and EC, were under 12%. The method of filter generation is generally applicable and reproducible. Depending on the application, different filter loadings and types of OC materials can be employed. Matched filter sets can be used for determining the accuracy of OC–EC methods, which are operational.

## b. Other methods

### 1.) Thermal methods

Different thermal methods have given consistent agreement for TC, but OC-EC results have been quite variable [Birch 1998a; Chow et al. 2001; Countess 1990; Norris et al. 1999; Schmid et al. 2001]. The degree of variability depends on the sample type. In general, there is greater disagreement among methods when samples contain materials that char [Birch 1998a; Countess 1990; Schmid et al. 2001] (e.g., wood and cigarette smokes). Methods that employ a lower maximum temperature and/or do not correct for char obtain results that are positively biased relative to NIOSH 5040 [Birch 1998a]. When a lower temperature (typically 550 °C) is used, less thermal breakdown of refractory organic components (and possibly carbonates) may occur. This, as well as lack of char correction, can positively bias the EC results. In the round robin study [Birch 1998a] discussed above, three laboratories employed four purely thermal




methods that specified a maximum in nitrogen (not helium) of about 550 °C. Unlike the NIOSH 5040 results, these laboratories reported high EC contents for the two OC standards (about 52% for sucrose and 70% for EDTA). Similar findings (i.e., positive bias) for thermal methods having no char correction were obtained in another international round robin [Schmid et al. 2001].

In contrast to round-robin results obtained previously [Birch 1998a], relatively good agreement was found in a comparison [Birch et al. 1999b] between NIOSH 5040 and a thermal method, ZH 1/120.44, used in Germany [Dahmann 1997; ZH 1/120.44]. The comparison was limited to two laboratories. Method ZH 1/120.44 specified a 550 °C maximum in nitrogen. The other European laboratories that participated in the previous round robin [Birch 1998a] used variations of this method. In the European laboratories, nitrogen was used as the inert gas, and carbon determination was based on coulometric titration of carbon dioxide. For the comparison [Birch et al. 1999b], samples were obtained in a mine where diesel equipment was being operated. The samples had a much higher EC content (about 50%) than did the round robin samples. No charring was noted in the thermograms, and only a minor amount of carbon was removed above 550 °C. Although differences in the OC-EC results were again seen, they were minor relative to those obtained in the round robin [Birch 1998a]. The mean EC fractions (EC:TC) found with methods ZH 1/120.44 and NIOSH 5040 were 0.53 ( $\sigma = 0.19$ ) and 0.46 ( $\sigma = 0.15$ ), respectively. This relatively minor difference in the reported fractions is attributed to the different thermal programs employed.

### **2.) IMPROVE method**


Another thermal-optical method, called the IMPROVE (Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments) method [Chow et al. 1993], also was included in the NIOSH 5040 round robin [Birch 1998a]. Relatively good agreement between NIOSH 5040 and the IMPROVE method was obtained, although the IMPROVE EC was consistently higher. The carbon analyzers used for the two methods are based on similar measurement principles, but they differ with respect to design and operation. For example, the optical correction in NIOSH 5040 is based on filter transmittance, whereas that for the IMPROVE method is reflectance based. When the intercomparison study was conducted, the instrument (Sunset Laboratory, Inc., Forest Grove, OR) used for NIOSH 5040 incorporated a pulsed diode laser (670 nm) and photodetector positioned on opposite sides of the filter, while the Desert Research Institute (DRI, Reno, NV) instrument employed for the IMPROVE method used a quartz tube and fiber optic to measure helium–neon laser light (632.8 nm, unmodulated) reflected from the filter surface. In addition to instrumental differences, NIOSH 5040 specifies a higher maximum temperature (typically 850 °C or higher) in helium than the IMPROVE method (550 °C). As discussed in the preceding section, a



higher temperature is used to better remove refractory OC components and carbonates. In the NIOSH 5040 analysis, the transmittance of some samples continues to decrease as the temperature is stepped to 850 °C, indicating further carbonization above 550 °C. Additional thermal breakdown and charring result in lower EC results because char correction is larger, and volatile pyrolysis products are released to a greater extent. Although environmental samples contain only small amounts (if any) of carbonate, levels in some workplaces (e.g., mines, construction sites) can be relatively high. Collection of carbonate can be prevented (or minimized) through use of an impactor [66 Fed. Reg. 5706 (2001)].

Results of two other comparisons [Chow et al. 2001; Norris et al. 1999] between NIOSH 5040 and the IMPROVE method have been reported. In one [Chow et al. 2001], the NIOSH 5040 EC was typically less than half the IMPROVE EC; however, a Sunset Laboratory instrument was not used. Instead, samples were analyzed with a DRI instrument, by running two different thermal programs [Chow et al. 2001]. Because differences in instrument design affect the OC-EC results to varying degrees, depending on sample type, the results reported for NIOSH 5040 (emulated on a DRI instrument) may not be representative of those obtained with a Sunset Laboratory instrument. Adjustment of the temperature program, according to another method's specifications, does not necessarily produce the same results as that method. For example, lower EC results [Birch 1998a] were obtained with a Sunset Laboratory instrument when a sucrose standard was analyzed according to the temperature program specified for a method used in Europe. No pyrolysis correction was made, and the total analysis time was shorter, yet the EC result was much lower (about 3 µg with the Sunset instrument and 11 µg with a different instrument).

When the NIOSH 5040 thermal program was used on a DRI instrument, the filter transmittance reportedly exceeded its initial value before the addition of oxygen [Chow et al. 2001]. In an audit by a CARB (California Air Resources Board) laboratory, the same problem was seen with a DRI instrument, but not a Sunset Laboratory instrument [EPA 2003]. Char loss was extensive when the NIOSH 5040 program was used on a DRI instrument. Again, the filter transmittance exceeded its initial value in helium, but the split point was not assigned until after oxygen was introduced. These results contrast with those obtained by our laboratory and others, and such behavior was not seen in another comparison [Norris et al. 1999]; however, that comparison (and the CARB audit) was a direct comparison of the two methods (i.e., samples were analyzed with both Sunset and DRI instruments). Only 2 of 52 samples analyzed by Sunset Laboratory showed an increase in transmittance before the addition of oxygen, and the OC-EC split was near the point where oxygen was added [Norris et al. 1999]. Both samples had low carbon loadings (OC = 4.0 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>, EC = 0.6 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>,



OC = 3.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ , EC = 0.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) and were thought to be wood-smoke dominated. As pyrolysis was evident, the increase in filter transmittance was attributed to char loss. Comparable EC results would have been obtained if the char had not been removed until after oxygen was added, because char is assigned to the OC fraction. In the NIOSH 5040 analysis of organic compounds (e.g., sucrose), partial char loss in helium sometimes occurs. The varying degree of loss may relate to differences in filter purity.

## 4 Occupational exposure criteria (U.S.)

In 1995, the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) proposed a Threshold Limit Value (TLV<sup>®</sup>) for diesel exhaust [ACGIH 1995] (see Notice of Intended Changes for 1995–1996). A TLV of 150  $\mu\text{g}$  of submicrometer particulate matter (mass) per cubic meter of air was proposed. Four years later, a value of 50  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was proposed [ACGIH 1999]. An EC-based standard was later proposed, because EC is a demonstrated exposure marker and can be accurately quantified at low levels [ACGIH 2001a]. A TLV-TWA (time-weighted average) of 20  $\mu\text{g}$  EC per cubic meter of air was recommended [ACGIH 2001b]. By comparison, the proposed TLV was high relative to an environmental standard supported by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). The California OEHHA classified particulate emissions from diesel-fueled engines as a toxic air contaminant (TAC) and supported 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  as the chronic inhalation reference exposure level (REL) [CalEPA 1998]. Because EC is a fraction of the diesel particulate emissions, the difference between the two air standards is greater than four fold, with the magnitude of the difference being dependent on the EC fraction of the diesel particulate mass. For example, if EC constituted 40% of the mass, the proposed TLV would be ten times higher than the OEHHA REL.

An exposure limit for DPM has been promulgated for metal and nonmetal mines, but there currently are no limits for other occupational settings (ACGIH withdrew a proposed limit [ACGIH 2003] for DPM [as EC] from its Notice of Intended Changes [NIC] list in 2003 and placed it under study). An EC (or TC) standard, rather than submicrometer particulate mass, would simplify interpretation of the analytical results because the target analyte and exposure standard would be the same. This would eliminate the problem of extrapolation (to submicrometer mass), which is not straightforward and introduces unacceptable error. As discussed previously, OC interferences are a problem with TC measurements; variable EC content is a problem when measuring EC. If EC concentrations are high and samples are relatively free of OC contaminants, the TC concentration is a reasonable measure of the diesel particulate mass, and the EC:TC ratio is representative of the EC fraction of the mass. However, this situation is uncommon outside of mines. In general industry, EC and OC levels are normally much lower. And when EC levels are low, the EC:TC ratios are not reliable estimates of the EC fraction of DPM because OC interferences can skew the ratios low and



increase variability in the apparent ratio. In such cases, TC is an inaccurate measure of the diesel particulate concentration.

Exposures in the mining industry are of particular concern because diesel particulate concentrations in mines [63 Fed. Reg. 17491 (1998); 63 Fed. Reg. 58103 (1998); 66 Fed. Reg. 5706 (2001)] have sometimes exceeded  $2 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , which is one thousand times higher than a typical environmental level. In its final rule [66 Fed. Reg. 5706 (2001)] on metal and nonmetal mines, MSHA proposed an interim exposure standard of  $400 \text{ } \mu\text{g TC per cubic meter of air}$ . Five years after publication of the rule, a final standard of  $150 \text{ } \mu\text{g TC per cubic meter}$  was to apply. In response to a legal challenge, MSHA initiated a limited new rulemaking [67 Fed. Reg. 60199 (2002)] to revise certain provisions of the final rule. Among other amendments, MSHA agreed to propose a change of exposure surrogate from TC to EC. Comments on appropriate interim and final limits for EC were requested in the new rulemaking. MSHA exposure standards consider health risks and technical and economic feasibility, based on available engineering controls and their cost.

## 5 Summary

NIOSH 5040 is based on a thermal-optical analysis technique for particulate carbon. Its intended application is assessment of workplace exposure to particulate diesel exhaust, but thermal-optical analysis has been routinely applied to environmental carbonaceous aerosols. Both OC and EC (and TC as  $\text{OC} + \text{EC}$ ) are determined by the method. For reasons discussed in this chapter, EC was selected as an exposure surrogate for diesel exhaust. Over 30 epidemiological studies are consistent in finding a positive association between exposure to diesel exhaust and lung cancer, but many studies lack quantitative exposure data. Accurate monitoring methods are necessary for quantifying the exposure risks.

The thermal-optical instrument incorporates an optical feature that corrects for the char formed during the analysis of some materials. The EC results of purely thermal methods show positive bias when samples contain such materials. Although minimal charring has been observed with most diesel soot samples from mines, workplace (and environmental) air often contains components that carbonize. Depending on the workplace and thermal protocol, these components can be significant contributors to the measured EC. For this reason, an analytical protocol that maximizes removal of OC components (and carbonates) and corrects for the char formed through thermal decomposition is important. In the NIOSH 5040 analysis, the transmittance/reflectance of some samples continues to decrease as the temperature is stepped to  $850 \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}$  (and higher) in helium, which indicates incomplete carbonization at the lower temperatures used in some methods. Partial char loss may occur in helium at higher temperature, but removal of original EC is less common. Good interlaboratory agreement between OC-EC results has been obtained by laboratories using






NIOSH 5040. Because a certified reference material is not currently available, confirmation of results by a second laboratory using NIOSH 5040 is advised, particularly when samples char during the analysis because interlaboratory results for these types of samples are typically more variable. Organic materials that char are useful as quality control samples. Accurate pyrolysis correction was obtained in the NIOSH 5040 analysis of an alginic acid solution spiked onto blank filters and diesel soot samples. Sucrose has traditionally been used as a check on the method's char correction, but other materials are needed that are more representative of the complex components in air samples.

To ensure data quality, participation in proficiency testing among laboratories involved in major studies also is advised. Several commercial laboratories offer the NIOSH 5040 analysis, and many instruments have been used globally for environmental and occupational monitoring. Method standardization is critical if results obtained by different laboratories are to be compared. Interlaboratory studies are useful in exposing differences among methods, but standards need to be included to identify method biases. Results of such comparisons must be interpreted with a clear understanding of each method's limitations.

Continued research is needed to better assess the potential health effects of diesel exhaust and other types of fine/ultrafine particle pollution. Although the organic compounds associated with DPM have potential health effects, those traditionally measured are not unique to diesel exhaust. Nevertheless, characterization of this fraction may be useful in assessment of exposure risks, particularly if compounds enriched in diesel relative to other particulate emissions can be used as indicators of mutagenic potency (e.g., specific nitro-PAH). This information also may identify engine types and operating conditions that produce higher emission rates of genotoxic compounds.

As reasoned previously [Birch and Cary 1996a], regardless of whether the potential adverse health effects of diesel particles are due to their tiny, carbonaceous cores, adsorbed compounds, or a combination of both, monitoring and control of the particulate component are necessary if the effects are particle related. In most workplaces, diesel engines are the primary source of fine-particle EC. Other combustion sources may contribute to environmental levels of EC. These sources may be relevant from an emission control perspective, but if the potential toxicity of the particles is similar, their origin is not relevant from a health perspective.





## Disclaimer

Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by NIOSH. In addition, citations to websites external to NIOSH do not constitute NIOSH endorsement of the sponsoring organizations or their programs or products. Furthermore, NIOSH is not responsible for the content of these websites. All web addresses referenced in this document were accessible as of the publication date.

## 6 References

52 Fed. Reg. 24634 [1987]. Environmental Protection Agency: Revisions to the national ambient air quality standards for particulate matter. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register. (To be codified at 40 CFR 51 and 52.)

55 Fed. Reg. 110 [1990]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH): Sampling and analysis of airborne diesel exhaust particulates; peer review meeting. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register.

62 Fed. Reg. 38652 [1997]. Environmental Protection Agency: National ambient air quality standards for particulate matter; final rule. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register. (To be codified at 40 CFR 50.)

63 Fed. Reg. 17491 [1998]. Mine Safety and Health Administration: Diesel particulate matter exposure of underground coal miners; proposed rule. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register. (To be codified at 30 CFR 72 and 75.)

63 Fed. Reg. 58103 [1998]. Mine Safety and Health Administration: Diesel particulate matter exposure of underground metal and nonmetal miners; proposed rule. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register. (To be codified at 30 CFR 57.)

66 Fed. Reg. 5706 [2001]. Mine Safety and Health Administration: Diesel particulate matter exposure of underground metal and nonmetal miners; final rule. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register. (To be codified at 30 CFR 57.)

67 Fed. Reg. 60199 [2002]. Mine Safety and Health Administration: Diesel particulate matter exposure of underground metal and nonmetal miners; advanced notice of proposed rulemaking. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of the Federal Register. (To be codified at 30 CFR 57.)



ACGIH [1995]. 1995-1996 Threshold Limit Values for chemical substances and physical agents & Biological Exposure Indices. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

ACGIH [1999]. 1998 annual reports of the committees on threshold limit values (TLVs) and biological exposure indices (BEI), notice of intended changes for 1999. ACGIH Today 6(8):1-2. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

ACGIH [2001a]. 2000 annual reports of the committees on TLVs and BEIs, notice of intended changes for 2001. ACGIH Today 9(1). Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

ACGIH [2001b]. Diesel exhaust (particulate and particulate adsorbed components), draft TLV-TWA document. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

ACGIH [2003]. Press release (January 27, 2003): ACGIH board ratifies 2003 TLVs and BEIs. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

Attfield MD, Schlieff PL, Lubin JH et al. [2012]. The Diesel exhaust in miners study: a cohort mortality study with emphasis on lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 104(11):869-883.

Birch ME [1991]. Research protocol: A sampling and analytical method for airborne diesel-exhaust particles. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Unpublished.

Birch ME [1998a]. Analysis of carbonaceous aerosols--interlaboratory comparison. *Analyst* 123:851-857.

Birch ME [1998b]. Elemental carbon loss in helium during NIOSH 5040 analysis of total and respirable dust samples from potash mines. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Unpublished.

Birch ME [2011]. Exposure and emissions monitoring during carbon nanofiber production. Part II: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Ann Occup Hyg* 55(9):1037-1047.

Birch ME, Cary RA [1996a]. Elemental carbon-based method for monitoring occupational exposures to particulate diesel exhaust. *Aerosol Sci Technol* 25:221-241.



Birch ME, Cary RA [1996b]. Elemental carbon-based method for occupational monitoring of particulate diesel exhaust: Methodology and exposure issues. *Analyst* 121:1183-1190.

Birch ME, Schlecht PC, Epstein PS, Rentschler M [1999a]. NIOSH 5040--A rugged method for measuring occupational exposures to diesel particulate matter. Unpublished paper presented at the American Industrial Hygiene Conference and Exposition, Toronto, Canada, June 5-11, 1999.

Birch ME, Dahmann D, Fricke H-H [1999b]. Comparison of two carbon analysis methods for monitoring diesel particulate levels in mines. *J Environ Monit* 1:541-544.

Birch ME, Ku B-K, Evans DE, Ruda-Eberenz T [2011]. Exposure and emissions monitoring during carbon nanofiber production. Part I: elemental carbon and iron-soot aerosols. *Ann Occup Hyg* 55(9):1016-1036.

Bjorseth A, Becher G [1986]. Physical and chemical properties of PAH. In: PAH in work atmospheres: Occurrence and determination. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

Brown LM, Collings N, Harrison RM, Maynard AD, Maynard RL, eds. [2000]. Discussion meeting on ultrafine particles in the atmosphere. *Philos Trans R Soc London A* 358(1775):2561-2797.


Burnett RT, Dales R, Krewski D, Vincent R, Dann T, Brook JR [1995]. Associations between ambient particulate sulfate and admissions to Ontario hospitals for cardiac and respiratory diseases. *Am J Epidemiol* 142:15-22.

Cadle SH, Mulawa PA [1990]. Atmospheric carbonaceous species measurement methods comparison study. *Aerosol Sci Technol* 12(1):128-141.

California EPA [1998]. Proposed identification of diesel exhaust as a toxic air contaminant--part B: Health risk assessment for diesel exhaust. Sacramento, CA: California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.

Cass GR, Gray HA [1995]. Regional emissions and atmospheric concentrations of diesel engine particulate matter: Los Angeles as a case study. In: Diesel exhaust: A critical analysis of emissions, exposure, and health effects. Cambridge, MA: Health Effects Institute, pp. 125-137.

Chai M, Birch ME, Deye G [2012]. Organic and elemental carbon filter sets: Preparation method and interlaboratory results. *Ann Occup Hyg* 56(8):959-967.



Chow JC, Watson JG, Crow D, Lowenthal DH, Merrifield T [2001]. Comparison of IMPROVE and NIOSH carbon measurements. *Aerosol Sci Technol* 34:23-34.

Chow JC, Watson JG, Pritchett LC, Pierson WR, Frazier CA, Purcel RG [1993]. The DRI thermal/optical reflectance carbon analysis system--description, evaluation and applications in U.S. air quality studies. *Atmos Environ* 27A(8):1185-1201.

Countess RJ [1990]. Interlaboratory analyses of carbonaceous aerosol samples. *Aerosol Sci Technol* 12:114-121.

Cui W, Eatough DJ, Eatough NL [1998]. Fine particulate organic material in the Los Angeles basin--1: Assessment of the high-volume Brigham Young University Organic Sampling System, BIG BOSS. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 48:1024-1037.

Currie LA, Benner BA, Jr., Kessler JD, Klinedinst DB, Klouda GA, Marolf JV, Slater JF, Wise SA, Cachier H, Cary R, Chow JC, Watson J, Druffel ERM, Masiello CA, Eglinton TI, Pearson A, Reddy CM, Gustafsson O, Hartmann PC, Quinn JG, Hedges JI, Prentice KM, Kirchstetter TW, Novakov T, Puxbaum H, Schmid H [2002]. A critical evaluation of interlaboratory data on total, elemental, and isotopic carbon in the carbonaceous particle reference material, NIST SRM 1649a. *J Res Natl Inst Stand Technol* 107(3):279-298.

Dahm MM, Evans DE, Schubauer-Berigan MK, Birch ME, Fernback JE [2012]. Occupational exposure assessment in carbon nanotube and nanofiber primary and secondary manufacturers. *Ann Occup Hyg* 56(5):542-56.

Dahm MM, Schubauer-Berigan MK, Evans DE, Birch ME, Fernback JE, Deddens JA [2015]. Carbon nanotube and nanofiber exposure assessments: An analysis of 14 site visits. *Ann Occup Hyg* 59(6):705-723.

Dahmann D, Bauer H-D [1997]. Diesel particulate matter (DPM) in workplaces in Germany. *Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* 12(12):1028-1031.

Dockery DW, Pope CA, III, Xu X, Spengler JD, Ware JH, Fay ME, Ferris BG, Jr., Speizer FE [1993]. An association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. *N Engl J Med* 329:1753-1759.

Donaldson K, Stone V, Gilmour PS, Brown DM, MacNee W [2000]. Ultrafine particles: Mechanisms of lung injury. *Philos Trans R Soc London A* 358(1775):2741-2749.



Doudrick K, Herckes P, Westerhoff P [2012]. Detection of carbon nanotubes in environmental matrices using programmed thermal analysis. *Environ Sci Technol* 46:12246-12253.

Edwards J, Walters S, Griffiths RK [1994]. Hospital admissions for asthma in preschool children: Relationship to major roads in Birmingham, United Kingdom. *Arch Environ Health* 49:223-227.

EPA [1999]. Air quality criteria for particulate matter [external review draft]. Vol. 3. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, EPA/600/P-99/002C.

EPA [2000a]. Health assessment document for diesel emission [review draft]. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, EPA/600/8-90/057E.

EPA [2000b]. National air pollutant emission trends, 1900-1998. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, EPA-454/R-00-002.

EPA [2001]. PM<sub>2.5</sub> speciation trends network special study. Montgomery, AL: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air, National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory.  
[[www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/files/ambient/pm25/spec/sparchive.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/files/ambient/pm25/spec/sparchive.pdf)] Date accessed: April 5, 2016.

EPA [2003]. CARB laboratory audit. Montgomery, AL: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory.  
[[www.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/pm25/spec/carbrept.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/pm25/spec/carbrept.pdf)] Date accessed: April 5, 2016.

Fatkhutdinova LM, Khaliullin TO, Vasil'yeva OL, Zalyalov RR, Mustafin IG, Kisin ER, Birch ME, Yanamala N, Shvedova AA [2016]. Fibrosis Biomarkers in Workers Exposed to MWCNT. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2016.02.016>

Garshick E, Laden F, Hart JE, Rosner B, Smith TJ, Dockery DW, Spelzer FE [2004]. Lung Cancer in Railroad Workers Exposed to Diesel Exhaust. *Environ Health Perspect* 112(15):1539-1543.

Gray HA, Cass GR, Huntzicker JJ, Heyerdahl KK, Rau JA [1984]. Elemental and organic carbon particle concentrations: A long-term perspective. *Sci Total Environ* 36:17-25.



Grimmer GH, Brune H, Deutsch-Wentzel R, Naujack KW, Misfeld J, Timm J [1983]. On the contribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to the carcinogen impact of automobile exhaust condensate evaluated by local application onto mouse skin. *Cancer Lett* 21:105-113.

Haney RA, Fields KG [1996]. In-mine comparison of diesel particulate sampling methods. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 11:717-720.

Hansen ADA, Novakov T [1990]. Real-time measurement of aerosol black carbon during the carbonaceous species methods comparison study. *Aerosol Sci Technol* 12(1):194-199.

HEI [1995]. Diesel exhaust: A critical analysis of emissions, exposure, and health effects. Cambridge, MA: Health Effects Institute.

HEI [2002]. Diesel Epidemiology Working Group. Research directions to improve estimates of human exposure and risk from diesel exhaust. Boston, MA: Health Effects Institute.

IARC [1989]. Diesel and gasoline engine exhausts and some nitroarenes. In: IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Vol. 46. Lyon, France: World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer.

IARC, WHO [2012]. Press Release No. 213, 12 June 2012, IARC: "Diesel Engine Exhaust Carcinogenic" [[http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2012/pdfs/pr213\\_E.pdf](http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2012/pdfs/pr213_E.pdf)]. Date accessed: Feb. 16, 2014.

Japar SM, Szkarlat AC, Gorse RA, Jr., Heyerdahl EK, Johnson RL, Rau JA, Huntzicker JJ [1984]. Comparison of solvent extraction and thermal-optical carbon analysis methods: Application to diesel vehicle exhaust aerosol. *Environ Sci Technol* 18:231-234.

Kennedy ER, Fischbach TJ, Song R, Eller PM, Shulman SA [1995]. Guidelines for air sampling and analytical method development and evaluation. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 95-117.

Kirchstetter TW, Corrigan CE, Novakov T [2001]. Laboratory and field investigation of the adsorption of gaseous organic compounds onto quartz. *Atmos Environ* 35:1663-1671.



Kittelsohn D, Watts W, Ramachandran G, Paulsen D, Kreager C [2002]. Measurement of diesel aerosol exposure: A feasibility study. In: Research directions to improve estimates of human exposure and risk from diesel exhaust. Boston, MA: Health Effects Institute, Diesel Epidemiology Working Group, pp. 153-179.

Kogut J, Tomb TF, Parobeck PS, Gero AJ, Suppers KL [1997]. Measurement precision with the coal mine dust personal sampler. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 12(12):999-1006.

Lies KH [1989]. Unregulated motor vehicle exhaust gas components. Wolfsburg, Germany: Volkswagen AG, Research and Development (Physico-Chemical Metrology).

Mannino DM, Homa DM, Pertowski CA, Ashizawa A, Nixon LL, Johnson CA, Ball LB, Jack E, Kang DS [1998]. Surveillance for asthma—United States, 1960-1995. *MMWR CDC Surveill Summ* 47:1-27.

Mazzuckelli LF, Methner MM, Birch ME, Evans DE, Ku B-K, Crouch K, Hoover MD [2007]. Identification and characterization of potential sources of worker exposure to carbon nanofibers during polymer composite laboratory operations. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 4:D125-D130.

McDow SR, Huntzicker JJ [1990]. Vapor adsorption artifact in the sampling of organic aerosol: Face velocity effects. *Atmos Environ* 24A:2563-2571.

Morrison RT, Boyd RN [1973]. Chapter 35. In: Organic chemistry. 3rd ed. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Natusch D [1978]. Potentially carcinogenic species emitted to the atmosphere by fossil-fueled power plants. *Environ Health Perspect* 22:79-80.

Niessner R, Wilbring P [1989]. Ultrafine particles as trace catchers for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: The photoelectric aerosol sensor as a tool for in situ sorption and desorption studies. *Anal Chem* 61:708-714.

NIOSH [1988]. Current intelligence bulletin 50: Carcinogenic effects of exposure to diesel exhaust. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 88-116.





NIOSH [1992]. Hazard evaluation and technical assistance report: Empire Distributors, Inc., Atlanta, GA. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, NIOSH HETA Report No. 92-226-2269, NTIS No. PB93-215085.

NIOSH [1993]. Hazard evaluation and technical assistance report: City of Lancaster, Division of Fire, Lancaster, OH. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, NIOSH HETA Report No. 92-0160-2360, NTIS No. PB94-140142.


NIOSH [1994a]. Elemental carbon (diesel particulate): Method 5040 (supplement issued 1/15/98). In: NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 4th ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113.

NIOSH [1994b]. Diesel particulate matter (as elemental carbon): Method 5040 (supplement issued 3/15/03). In: NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 4th ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113.

NIOSH [1994c]. Hazard evaluation and technical assistance report, Southwest Airlines, Houston Hobby Airport, Houston, TX. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, NIOSH HETA Report No. 93-0816-2371, NTIS No. PB94-175155.

NIOSH [2003]. Chapter Q: Monitoring of diesel particulate exhaust in the workplace. In: Schlecht PC, O'Connor PF, eds. NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 4th ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2003-154.

NIOSH [2013]. [2013]. Current intelligence bulletin 65: occupational exposure to carbon nanotubes and nanofibers. By Zumwalde R, Kuempel E, Birch ME, Trout D, Castranova V. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2013-145.



NIST [2001]. Certificate of analysis: Standard reference material 1649a – Urban dust. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards & Technology.

Norris GA, Birch ME, Tolocka MP, Lewis CS, Solomon PA, Homolya JB [1999]. Analysis of carbonaceous aerosols using the thermal optical transmittance and thermal optical reflectance methods. In: Proceedings of the 92nd Annual Meeting of the Air & Waste Management Association, St. Louis, MO, June 20-24, 1999. NTIS No. PB99-171597.

NTP [2000]. Report on carcinogens. 9th ed. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Toxicology Program.

Pandya RJ, Solomon G, Kinner A, Balmes JR [2002]. Diesel exhaust and asthma: Hypotheses and molecular mechanisms of action. *Environ Health Perspect* 110(Suppl 1):103-112.

Pierson WR, Brachaczek WW [1983]. Particulate matter associated with vehicles on the road, II. *Aerosol Sci Technol* 2:1-40.

Pope CA, III, Dockery DW, Schwartz J [1995a]. Review of epidemiological evidence of health effects of particulate air pollution. *Inhal Toxicol* 7(1):1-18.

Pope CA, III, Thun MJ, Namboodiri MM, Dockery DW, Evans JS, Speizer RE, Heath CW [1995b]. Particulate air pollution as a predictor of mortality in a prospective study of U.S. adults. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 151:669-674.

Pope CA, III, Burnett RT, Thun MJ, Calle EE, Krewski D, Ito K, Thurston GD [2002]. Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *J Am Med Assoc* 287(9):1132-1141.

Pott F [1985]. Pyrolyseabgase, PAH und Lungenkrebsrisiko– Daten und Bewertung. (Pyrolysis gases, PAH and risk of lung cancer – Data and evaluation.) *Staub - Reinhalt Luft* 45:369-379.

Samet JM [2000]. Fine particulate air pollution and mortality in 20 U.S. cities. *N Engl J Med* 343(24):1742-1749.

Sawyer RF, Johnson JJ [1995]. Diesel emissions and control technology. In: Diesel exhaust: A critical analysis of emissions, exposure, and health effects. Cambridge, MA: Health Effects Institute, pp. 65-81.



Schauer JJ, Mader BT, DeMinter JT, Heidemann G, Bae MS, Seinfeld JH, Flagan RC, Cary RA, Smith D, Huebert BJ, Bertram T, Howell S, Kline JT, Quinn P, Bates T, Turpin B, Lim HJ, Yu JZ, Yang H, Keywood MD [2001]. ACE-Asia intercomparison of a thermal-optical method for the determination of particle-phase organic and elemental carbon. *Environ Sci Technol* 37(5):993-1001.

Schmid H, Laskus L, Jürgen Abraham H, Baltensperger U, Lavanchy V, Bizjak M, Burba P, Cachier H, Crow D, Chow J, Gnauk T, Even A, ten Brink HM, Giesen K-P, Hitzenberger R, Hueglin C, Maenhaut W, Pio C, Carvalho A, Putaud J-P, Toom-Sauntry D, Puxbaum H [2001]. Results of the "carbon conference" international aerosol carbon round robin test stage I. *Atmos Environ* 35(12):2111-2121.

Schuetzle D [1983]. Sampling of vehicle emissions for chemical analysis and biological testing. *Environ Health Perspect* 47:65-80.

Schwartz J [1997]. Health effects of air pollution from traffic: Ozone and particulate matter. In: Fletcher T, McMichael AJ, eds. *Health at the crossroads: Transport policy and urban health*. Chichester, UK: Wiley.

Schwartz J, Dockery DW, Neas LM [1996]. Is daily mortality associated specifically with fine particles? *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 46:937-939.

Schwartz J [1994]. Air pollution and hospital admissions for the elderly in Birmingham, AL. *Am J Epidemiol* 139:589-590.

Schwartz J, Morris R [1995]. Air pollution and hospital admissions for cardiovascular disease in Detroit, Michigan. *Am J Epidemiol* 142:22-35.

Silverman, DT; Samanic, CM; Lubin, JH, et al. [2012]. The diesel exhaust in miners study: a nested case-control study of lung cancer and diesel exhaust. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 104(11):855-868.

Solomon GM, Campbell TR, Carmichael T, Feuer GR, Hathaway JS [1998]. *Exhausted by diesel: How America's dependence on diesel engines threatens our health*. New York: Natural Resources Defense Council.

Stanevich RS, Hintz P, Yareb D [1997]. Elemental carbon levels at a potash mine. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 1:1009-1012.



Sun J, Wolff R, Kanapilly G [1982]. Deposition, retention, and biological fate of inhaled benzo(a)pyrene adsorbed onto ultrafine particles and as a pure aerosol. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 65:231-241.

Thrane KE, Mikalsen A, Stray H [1985]. Monitoring methods for airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Int J Environ Anal Chem* 23(1-2):111-134.

Turpin BJ, Huntzicker JJ, Hering SV [1994]. Investigation of organic aerosol sampling artifacts in the Los Angeles basin. *Atmos Environ* 28(19):3061-3071.

van Vliet P, Knape M, de Hartog J, Janssen NAH, Harssems H, Brunekreef B [1997]. Air pollution from road traffic and chronic respiratory symptoms in children living near motorways. *Environ Res* 74:122-132.

Verma DK, Shaw L, Julian JA, Smolyneec K, Wood C, Shaw D [1999]. A comparison of sampling and analytical methods for assessing occupational exposure to diesel exhaust in a railroad work environment. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 14:701-714.

Weiland SK, Mundt KA, Rückmann A, Keil U [1994]. Self-reported wheezing and allergic rhinitis in children and traffic density on street of residence. *Ann Epidemiol* 4:243-247.

WHO, International Programme on Chemical Safety [1996]. Environmental health criteria 171: Diesel fuel and exhaust emissions. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.

Whittaker LS, MacIntosh PL, Williams PL [1999]. Employee exposure to diesel exhaust in the electric utility industry. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 60:635-640.

Wjst M, Reitmeir P, Dold S [1993]. Road traffic and adverse health effects on respiratory health in children. *Br Med J* 307:596-600.

Wolff RK, Henderson RF, Snipes MB, Sun JD, Bond JA, Mauderly JL, McClellan RO [1986]. Lung retention of diesel soot and associated organic compounds. In: Ishinishi N, Koizumi A, McClellan RO, Stöber W, eds. *Carcinogenic and mutagenic effects of diesel engine exhaust*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 199-211.

Yamasaki H, Kuwata K, Miyamoto H [1982]. Effects of ambient temperature on aspects of airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Environ Sci Technol* 16(4):189-194.



Zaebst DD, Clapp DE, Blade LM, Marlow DA, Steenland K, Hornung RW, Schuetzle D, Butler J [1991]. Quantitative determination of trucking industry workers' exposures to diesel exhaust particles. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 52:529-541.

Zang X, McMurry PH [1992]. Evaporative losses of fine particulate nitrates during sampling. *Atmos Environ* 26A:3305-3312.

ZH 1/120.44, Verfahren zur Bestimmung von Kohlenstoff im Feinstaub - anwendbar für partikelförmige Dieselmotoremissionen in Arbeitsbereichen, Carl Heymanns Verlag, Cologne, 1995.