

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

MAR 21 1988

84-266

The Honorable John D. Dingell
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Dingell:

Thank you for your letter of February 16 to Secretary Bowen on behalf of Mr. Charles D. Hough regarding the proposed regulations governing the certification of respirators.

The current regulations under which the Mine Health and Safety Administration and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health test and certify respirators (30 CFR Part 11) were originally promulgated in 1972. During the last several years, there has been a growing consensus among the respirator manufacturers and user community that these requirements need revision to reflect the technical advances in the field and the increased knowledge regarding environmental factors in the workplace. Some of the steps taken to develop the proposed rule are outlined in the enclosed preamble (52 FR 32402).

We are, of course, anxious to receive comments on both the technical and policy elements of this proposed rule. Toward that end, in October we announced two public hearings (52 FR 37639). The first took place in San Francisco on January 20, 1988, and the second was in Washington, D.C., on January 27-28, 1988. Enclosed is a copy of the opening statement from those hearings which clarifies many of the misunderstandings of this regulation. We have also extended the comment period until March 28, 1988 (53 FR 5595).

We look forward to hearing from all parties concerned, and I assure you that all comments received will be placed into the record and will be carefully considered in any final rulemaking decision.

Sincerely yours,
/s/ Robert E. Windom

Robert E. Windom, M.D.
Assistant Secretary for Health

Enclosures

FILE
COPY

OFFICE	SURNAME	DATE	OFFICE	SURNAME	DATE	OFFICE	SURNAME	DATE
CDC/w	IRwin	3/14/88						

CDC

Page 2 - The Honorable John D. Dingell

cc:

OD

NIOSH

FMO

CDCW

ES/PHS

CCU/OS

ASL/OS

CLO/OS

H Official File

CDC Official File (Return to CDC, Atlanta)

CDC:JOMason;dc 03/15/88 FTS 236-3291 (M/03/15)

OS No. 8802230076; Tracer 92013; CDC ID D41581; Doc 3694B

JOHN D. DINGELL
19TH DISTRICT, MICHIGAN
WASHINGTON OFFICE
ROOM 2221
RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-4071

DISTRICT OFFICES
5401 SCHAEFER ROAD
DEARBORN, MI 48126
(313) 848-1278
214 EAST ELM AVENUE
SUITE 105
MONROE, MI 48161
(313) 247-1849

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

February 16, 1988

2
COMMITTEES
CHAIRMAN
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND
COMMERCE
CHAIRMAN
SUBCOMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT
AND INVESTIGATIONS
OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY
ASSESSMENT
MR. HARRY BIRD
CONSERVATION COMMISSION

The Honorable Otis R. Bowen
Secretary, U.S. Department of
Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Dear Secretary Bowen:


Constituents have contacted me regarding a "National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Revision of Tests and Requirements for certification of Permissibility of Respiratory Protective Devices Used in Mines and Mining" proposal (42 CFR Part 84) printed in the Federal Register on Thursday, August 27, 1987. Among other provisions, the proposal addresses "mine and mining conditions and their effects on respirators." However, it does not indicate provisions that are applicable to general industries or construction, which, apparently, represent the majority of respirator use.

Enclosed you will find a copy of a letter sent to me regarding the proposed regulation and proposed changes from the Industrial Safety Equipment Association. Please kindly send me a status report regarding this NIOSH proposed regulation and indicate progress on alternative tests and regulations on respirators used in general industries and construction.

I would appreciate your prompt consideration on this matter.

With every good wish,

Sincerely yours,



John D. Dingell
Member of Congress

cc
enclosure

3622B
CDC ID: D 41581
DATE: FEB 26 1988
Correspondence Unit, OD
Ext. 3322

DEC 19 1987

2051 North Rosevere
Dearborn, Michigan 48128
December 14, 1987

WS

Dear Representative:Dingell:

The National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH) currently certifies respirators for use in general industry, mining and construction. On August 27, 1987, NIOSH proposed (42 CFR 84, Federal Register) regulations which will have a disastrous impact on worker safety and on our industry.

The Industrial Safety Equipment Association, of which 3M Company of St. Paul, Minnesota is a part, feels strongly that this proposal must be withdrawn.

The proposal provides no prototype, nor specific requirements, so it is impossible for us to exercise our right to comment on it in a meaningful way. This denies us due process.

While our industry recognizes the value of regulation and, by and large, has little problem with the concept of workplace testing, the fact of the matter is that the technology is not available to conform to the proposed change. This is not the way to improve a process; it will, in fact, destroy a process which works but needs tuning.

Even though ninety percent of the respirators in use today are used for non-mining (industrial and construction) purposes, the proposal requires that we test all respirators under mining conditions, thereby ignoring the safety interest of the majority of workers who use respirators.


Finally, the proposed changes will cost our industry up to \$700,000,000, which will threaten the very viability of the industry, as well as worker safety.

The management and employees of 3M Company trust that we can count on your support in this matter of critical interest to labor and industry. We urge you to immediately contact Health and Human Services Secretary, Dr. Otis Bowen, to request that the proposed ruling be withdrawn. Enclosed is a fact sheet which outlines the proposal, our objections and recommendations.

I look forward to hearing from you once you have contacted Secretary Bowen, as we are very anxious about the resolution of this problem.

Thank you for your assistance on this matter.

Sincerely,



Charles D. Hough

ISEA FACT SHEET
(NIOSH proposal to change certification process for respirators)

I. Current Situation:

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) currently certifies respirators for use in general industry, mining and construction.

II. Proposed Changes:

On August 27, 1987, NIOSH proposed (42 CFR part 84, Federal Register) to limit its certification activities to respirators used in mining, thereby requiring manufacturers of respirators used in general industry and construction to "self-certify" their products.

Manufacturers will be required to test their own respirators in the workplace or a simulated environment. However, the proposed "workplace" stipulation requires that all testing be conducted in mining operations.

All respirators currently in use will have to be recertified under the new process and manufacturers will be required to retest any respirators which are modified in the most minor ways.

III. Concerns about Proposed Changes:

(1) Testing in and for the Wrong Environment:

Ninety percent of respirators used in the United States are for non-mining use. By limiting respirator testing to mining, NIOSH is ignoring the safety and health needs of the vast majority of respirator users.

(2) Economic Impact:

The costs of developing new standards, recertification of existing respirators and workplace testing (with no proven protocols) would create an unbearable burden on manufacturers and end users. The net effect would be a major set-back to worker safety.

(3) Effects on Industries which Provide Respirator Protection for Workers:

It is likely that modifications required to make general industry respirators meet mine standards, as well as the increased costs of the end product, will adversely affect worker safety. Employers who have workers in marginal need areas may no longer provide respirators. Moreover, workers may not be willing to use respirators which are potentially too large, too unwieldy and uncomfortable.

(4) Requirement for Workplace Testing:

While the Industrial Safety Equipment Association (representing every major respirator manufacturer in the United States) is not in principal opposed to workplace testing, consensus standards and procedures must first be developed.

For example, it is presently impossible to test the broad array of different respirators in the workplace because the technology is not yet developed.

Even if the technology for workplace testing existed, there are not enough mines in the United States in which the tests can be performed without threatening the safety of workers.

(5) "Self-Certification" is a Misnomer:

Given the fact that NIOSH will review test results, reserve the right to retest at its discretion and continue to have the ultimate say, manufacturers will, in effect, not be certifying. Instead, they will be testing their products for NIOSH.

(6) Proposed Rule is Major Ruling and not a Minor Ruling:

Implementation of the proposed rule would cost manufacturers up to \$700,000,000 annually, making the proposed rule a "major ruling" and not a "minor ruling" as portrayed by NIOSH. This would cause hardship on manufacturers and end users and be in conflict with Executive Order 12291.

(7) No Protocol Issued with Proposed Regulation:

While NIOSH has issued its proposed standards for certification, it has not released a protocol outlining the requirements, rules, details and procedures for the required workplace testing. This omission denies respirator manufacturers due process and, furthermore, makes it impossible for them to respond to the proposal in a meaningful way because it is not complete.

IV. Recommendations:

- (1) The Proposed 42 CFR 84 must be withdrawn.
- (2) If NIOSH is to no longer certify respirators for general industry and construction, resources must be committed to developing a consensus standard for all respirator certification for use in all industrial applications.
- (3) This consensus standard must then be certified through a non-governmental third party.